# TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY-KINGSVILLE SUMMARY OF ANNUAL EVALUATION OF FACULTY

Nam	ne:		Dept.:		(	Chair:		
	parameters for each of nd President, and cons							the Faculty
I.	TEACHING PERF	ORMANCE				Rating (1-7)	Weight (0-100%)	Score
	(See Appendix III,		oook)				X	=
	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations					
II.	RESEARCH AND Involvement in the (research) or teach (See Appendix II, 1	scholarship of ing or integrati	discovery or a on (scholarly				X	=
	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations					
III.	PROFESSIONAL ( Membership in pr at professional me lectures, profession	ofessional organetings, professional service, con	nizations, atter onal consultin tinuing profes	ndance g and			x	=
	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations					
IV.	SERVICE Committee service acquisition or deve and curriculum de general university colleagues, engage ethical behavior.	elopment of faci evelopment, atte functions, othe	ilities and equendance and s r service. Coo	ipment, program support for perates with			X	=
	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations					
Total of	f Weights and Score	es (weights mus	st total 100%	)			=	
	re of the faculty men Evaluation has been		that a discuss	sion, between the	faculty memb	per and the sup	pervisor, regarding	the .
Cha	irperson's Signature				Date			
Facı	ulty Member's Signa	iture			Date			
Dean's Signature				Date				

#### I. TEACHING PERFORMANCE

Dimension

The criteria for establishing the quality of Teaching Performance is outlined below and should be consistently applied by all academic colleges. (Library faculty will be evaluated in this section for "Professional Performance" according to the "Statement of Criteria for Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure for University Librarians" 1995).

Student Rating of Instruction: Adjustments should be made in the following ratings based on known biases or other factors as explained in the "Guidelines for Interpretation and Use of Student Ratings of Instruction." Ratings from the student rating instrument should be rounded to one decimal place. This section of assessing teaching performance can account for a minimum of 25% and a maximum of 50% of the overall score for teaching performance, the proportion to be determined by the faculty member and agreed upon by the department chair. Other evidence of teaching performance (next page) accounts for 50-75% of the overall score for teaching performance. The percentages must add up to 100%.

1.	Value (questions 4, 12)		
2.	Enthusiasm (question 13)		
3.	Organization (questions 2, 7)		
4.	Group interaction (questions 1, 11)		
5.	Individual rapport (questions 1, 6, 10, 14)		
6.	Breadth of coverage (question 15)		
7.	Examinations/grading (questions 3, 5, 8)		
8.	Assignments (questions 3, 9)		
9.	Workload/difficulty (questions 16, 17)		
page bei	of 3.0 or above (out of 5.0) for all nine diving awarded. A rating of less than 3.0 on or 1/9 for each dimension rating less than ible for the rating of less than 3.0.	ne or more dimensions would	ld result in a reduction in the maximum
% (range page	e of 25-50, see above, expressed as decimal) _	X 7.0 =	maximum weighted score this
maximur	m weighted score this page X		score for student rating of instruction
		SCORE THIS PAGE	

#### OTHER EVIDENCE OF TEACHING PERFORMANCE

(range of 50-75% of overall score for teaching performance consistent with percentage used for "Score for Student Rating of Instruction")

All faculty are expected to demonstrate appropriate professional demeanor in teaching situations. They are also expected to be punctual and dependable and to adhere to teaching philosophy consistent with the role and mission of the university. Included in this section is evidence of preparation for teaching (e.g., maintain currency in field, development of instructional materials [e.g., syllabi, outlines, handouts, etc.], incorporation of technology in classroom where appropriate).

In addition, consistent with System Policy 12.06, other evidence of effective teaching includes efforts and activities that impact teaching effectiveness. Examples include: teaching portfolio, reflective self-review, workshops or other training conducted or provided for others excluding continuing education courses taught, peer reviews, colleague reviews, trained observers, feedback from current students (student comments on SRI or other informal sources of feedback including interviews, e.g., of graduating seniors), performance of students in subsequent courses, feedback from alumni and employers of graduates, direction of dissertations and theses, participation in workshops on effective teaching, in-service training, results from conduct of recognized assessments of teaching effectiveness, use of instructional consultant, use of on-campus technology centers and other programs to support integration of new technology into teaching, service as mentor for other faculty, enrollment in elective courses taught, student performance on standardized exams (e.g., ExCET, certification exams).

% (range of 50-75, see above, expressed as decimal)	X 7.0 =  ma	ximum weig	hted score this page
maximum weighted score this page X = rating by department chair (% expressed as decimal)	score for other ev	idence of tea	aching performance
	SCORE THIS PAG	Е	
Score for student rating of instruction (previous page)			
			+
Score for other evidence of teaching performance (this page)			
Overall Score for Teaching Performance			
(enter this score in "Summary of Annual Evaluation of Facult	ty" sheet)		

# TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY-KINGSVILLE ANNUAL REPORT BY FACULTY MEMBER

	Spring	through Fall	
	affecting ter	ally update your personnel file, (2) to provi uure and promotion, (3) to form the basis for for merit pay recommendations.	
		aluation period. All information related to on this form. Include supporting information	•
Name			
Rank		Department	
Highest Degree		Institution Granting Degre	e
Date of Initial Appointment at TAMU-K		Tenured?	Tenure-Track?

Date of Present Rank

Institution Where Appointed

#### I. TEACHING PERFORMANCE

Present in summary form evidence of your teaching performance. Include supporting data in an appendix. Use such evidence as student rating of instruction, preparation for teaching (e.g., maintain currency in field, development of instructional materials, incorporation of technology in classroom where appropriate); development of new courses or curriculum (not accounted for under scholarly activities); consistent with System Policy 12.06, other evidence of effective teaching including efforts and activities that impact teaching performance (e.g., teaching portfolio, reflective self-review, workshops or other training conducted or provided for others excluding continuing education courses taught, peer reviews, colleague reviews, trained observers, feedback from current students [student comments on SRI or other informal sources of feedback including interviews e.g. of graduating seniors], performance of students in subsequent courses, feedback from alumni and employers of graduates, direction of dissertations and theses, participation in workshops on effective teaching, in-service training, results from conduct of recognized assessments of teaching effectiveness, use of instructional consultant, use of on-campus technology centers and programs to support integration of new technology into teaching, service as mentor for other faculty, enrollment in elective courses taught, student performance on standardized exams [e.g., ExCET, certification exams]).

#### II. RESEARCH AND SCHOLARLY ACTIVITIES

List articles, monographs, books, book reviews, presentations to professional and learned societies, creative and artistic endeavors, grantsmanship, contract research, consultation (which focuses on application of knowledge to consequential problems), technical assistance, policy analysis, program evaluation, participation in workshops and seminars resulting in an action plan for improving teaching skills, written evaluations of teaching materials, development of teaching/learning outcomes assessment tools, participation in curricular innovation, and conduct of interdisciplinary seminars. Submit a copy of each publication, review, contract, policy, program evaluation, action plan, evaluation of teaching materials, and outcomes assessment tools (if available). Any research in progress that is listed must have documentation to indicate the extent of the progress during this reporting period. The activities and documentation listed are illustrative, but not exhaustive.

NOTE: For a more detailed and complete discussion of research and scholarly activities, see Appendix II in the Faculty Handbook ("Definition of Research and Appropriate Scholarly Activity at TAMU-K").

#### III. PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND ACTIVITIES

### List information in the following order:

- 1. Membership in professional organizations, including offices held during the year;
- 2. Attendance at professional meetings (in an appendix attach dates of meetings, list of sessions attended, and other professional activities engaged in at meetings);
- 3. Professional consulting and lectures (not accounted for in scholarship of application or integration, include supporting data);
- 4. Professional service (moderator, facilitator, journal editor, reviewer [e.g., manuscripts, [grants], etc., include supporting data);
- 5. Professional honors received;
- 6. Continuing professional education (status of doctorate if not conferred; post-graduate or post-doctoral work at a university; training received in workshops and non-university courses).

#### IV. SERVICE

#### List information in the following order:

- 1. Significant committee and administrative assignments on campus (indicate number of meetings and hours of work during reporting period);
- 2. Sponsorship of student organizations (indicate number of meetings and hours of work during reporting period);
- 3. Recruitment and retention activities (including sponsorship of co-curricular and extra-curricular activities);
- 4. Student advisement;
- 5. Acquisition and development of facilities; program and curriculum development (not accounted under teaching performance or in scholarship of teaching);
- 6. Attendance at and support of general university functions;
- 7. Other service supportive of the university (i.e., community).

## Texas A&M University-Kingsville Proposed Activities Form

	Springthrough Fa	111	
Name	Dept.:		Date:
each of the four categori	evaluation of my performance for the calendar yes listed on the <i>Annual Evaluation of Faculty</i> for ted weights are in parentheses.		
I.	Teaching Performance	Weight	
II.	Research and Scholarly Activities	Weight	
III.	Professional Growth and Activities	Weight	
IV.	Service	Weight	
Weights must total 10	0%.		
Proposed Activities fo	r Current Year:		
(Attach additional pag	ges as necessary)		
(To be completed for	faculty on a normal teaching assignment.)		
Approved by:			
Faculty Member		Date:	
Dept. Chair		Date:	
College Dean		Date:	

# Texas A&M University-Kingsville Narrative of the Annual Evaluation of Faculty Spring\_\_\_\_through Fall\_\_\_\_

Faculty Member	Department	
Tenured: □Yes □No		
Date of Initial Appointment at TAMU-K:		
Rank:		
Date of Present Rank:/		
NARI	RATIVE REPORT	
Signatures:		
Chair	Date:	
Faculty Member	Date:	
Dean	Date:	